Bloomberg Law

September 29, 2020

Industries for the Blind Settles Chinese Import Fraud Suit

- Whistleblower's FCA suit to end in \$1.9 million settlement
- Failure to provide goods made by blind, disabled U.S. workers

By Peter Hayes

ndustries for the Blind Inc. will pay \$1.9 million to settle a whistleblower's claims that it violated the False Claims Act by providing the federal government with goods from China and Taiwan despite promising to provide goods produced by disadvantaged workers in the United States, according to a Tuesday news release.

IBI allegedly engaged in a scheme to purchase cheaper goods from those countries and pass them off as products eligible for sale to federal agencies under the AbilityOne program, the press release said.

Magistrate Judge William
E. Duffin of the U.S. District
Court for the Eastern District
of Wisconsin signed an
order Tuesday granting the
government's request to
intervene in the whistleblower's

suit for settlement purposes, and to partially lift a seal.

The Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act requires federal agencies to purchase suitable commodities from nonprofit agencies that employ a certain percentage of blind or severely disabled individuals. The Act is administered by the AbilityOne Commission which establishes a list of supplies and services nonprofit agencies can provide.

According to whistleblower Paul Inzeo's complaint, the U.S. Census Bureau placed orders with IBI for census bags which accounted for about \$30 million in gross sales. The goods were contracted to a company in China without consent from AbilityOne.

IBI employees removed indicia showing the goods were made in China, Inzeo said in the complaint.

Inzeo also alleged that IBI provided the government with clothing, pens, brooms, and other goods that were made by foreign workers.

"They imported approximately \$40 million dollars of goods a year from China and sold them as American blind-made products to the U.S. government. The sales brochures pictured blind employees but I witnessed sighted part-time workers handling the repackaging, relabeling, and assembly," Inzeo said.

"When I asked the warehouse manager why, he replied it was easier to hire sighted workers because it took too long to teach the blind how to do the work," he said.

lnzeo, a former marketing manager for 181, filed his suit

Bloomberg Law

Continued from previous page

under seal in 2015.

Mahany Law represented the whistleblower.

The case is United States ex rel. Inzeo v. Indus. for the Blind Inc., E.D. Wis., No. 15-cv-996, 9/29/20. ■